



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 114 (1997) 305–319

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRA

Chern maps for U -theory

Jack M. Shapiro*, Dongyuan Yao

Mathematics Department, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

Communicated by C.A. Weibel; received 14 July 1995; revised 28 October 1995

Abstract

For an exact k -linear category \mathcal{A} with a duality functor, the dihedral homology of \mathcal{A} is defined. We show that when $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, the image of the generalized Chern map from the U -theory of \mathcal{A} to cyclic homology, lies in a direct summand which is the dihedral homology of \mathcal{A} . When \mathcal{A} is the category of finitely generated projective A -modules over a k -algebra A , we recover the early results of Cortinas (1993) and Lodder (1992). The approach we adopt here is more categorical, inspired by McCarthy's work (1992).

0. Introduction

All rings (algebras) are assumed to be unitary and all modules right modules if not otherwise specified. All categories are assumed to be small categories.

Let A be a k -algebra where k is a commutative ring. The cyclic homology and cohomology of A was first developed by A. Connes as a non-commutative substitute of De Rahm cohomology and he constructed a Chern character map with values in it. Jones and Goodwillie generalized this to a Chern map which has its values in negative homology.

Suppose A has an involution $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ which acts trivially on k , i.e., $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$, $\overline{a + b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}$, $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ and $\overline{a\alpha} = \bar{a}\alpha \forall \alpha \in k$. The involution can be used to construct another variant of cyclic homology, which is called dihedral homology. It is shown in [2, 9] that if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, then the composition, forgetful map from L -theory to K -theory followed by the generalized Chern map, has its image in dihedral homology.

A categorical approach was instituted for cyclic homology by McCarthy (see [10]) and as in the case of K -theory it helped shed new light on the subject. In particular he constructed the generalized Chern map, the so-called Jones–Goodwillie Chern map, in a straightforward manner.

*Corresponding author.

In this paper we are going to show that we can do the same for a k -linear exact category.

If \mathcal{A} is a k -linear category with a duality functor, we can construct dihedral homology for it. If the category happens to be the finitely generated projective modules over a k -algebra with involution, then we show that the categorical definition agrees with the usual one.

If \mathcal{A} is an exact k -linear category with a duality functor such that all short exact sequences split, then copying Quillen's $S^{-1}S$ construction, we can extend the definition of L -theory to such a category. In that case we define a generalized Chern map from L -theory to cyclic homology. In Theorem 4.5 we show that if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, then the generalized Chern map lies in dihedral homology. In particular this gives a new categorical proof of the result in [2, 9] mentioned above. For the general case of an exact category we will look at another part of Karoubi's L -theory.

For a k -algebra A with involution, the forgetful map and hyperbolic map between the K -theory and L -theory provide basic relations between these two theories. M. Karoubi is the first to systematically study these two maps and he calls the homotopy fiber of the hyperbolic map $K(A) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}L(A)$ the U -theory of A , denoted as ${}_{\varepsilon}U(A)$. This fibration has been used to derive many results for these theories. This U -theory also has a categorical construction [1, 12, 14]. Unlike the $S^{-1}S$ -constructions of L -theory, which only makes good sense when the short exact sequences in the exact category are all split, the U -theory generalizes well to any exact category with a duality functor. From this point of view, U -theory seems more natural than L -theory.

For an exact k -linear category with a duality functor we define the generalized Chern map from U -theory to cyclic homology and show (Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4) that if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, the image of the map lies in the dihedral homology.

Here is a brief account of the contents. In Section 1 we use Quillen's Q -construction to define cyclic homology and its variants for a k -linear category. This is comparable to the construction in [10] which uses the Waldhausen S -construction. In Section 2 we review the definitions of U -theory for an exact category with a duality functor and in Section 3 we show how to define dihedral homology for such categories. In Section 4 the two main results of this paper, Theorems 4.3 and 4.5, are proved.

1. Q -Construction for cyclic homology and its variants

Let A be a k -algebra where k is commutative ring, and let $\bar{A} = A/k$. As usual $A^{\otimes n}$ (resp. $\bar{A}^{\otimes n}$) will denote the tensor product of n copies of A (resp. \bar{A}) over k .

Let $C_n(A) = A \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ and let $d_i: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A)$ be

$$d_i(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$d_n(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_n a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1},$$

and for $0 \leq i \leq n$ let $s_i: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A)$ be

$$s_i(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes 1 \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n.$$

Then $(C(A), d_i, s_i)$ is a simplicial k -module. There is another degeneracy map (usually called the extra degeneracy) $s: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A)$ which is given by $s(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$.

The Hochschild homology of A , $HH_*(A)$, is defined to be homology of the chain complex $(C(A), b)$ where $b = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i$.

Let $\bar{C}_n(A) = A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n} = C_n(A)/D_n$, where $D_n(A)$ is the sub k -module of $C_n(A)$ generated by all elements of the form $a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ where $a_i = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Equivalently, D_n is the sub k -module generated by the images of the degeneracy maps s_i . The chain complex $(\bar{C}(A), b)$ is called the normalization of $(C(A), b)$ and it is well known that they have the same homology. For a ring with involution (resp. a category with a duality functor), it is $(\bar{C}(A), b)$, not $(C(A), b)$, that behaves well with respect to the involution (resp. duality functor). So we will mainly work with normalization and think of $HH_*(A)$ as $H_*(\bar{C}(A), b)$.

To define the cyclic homology of A , let $t: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$ act by

$$t(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = (-1)^n a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

and let $N = 1 + t + \cdots + t^n$. In the normalization $\bar{C}(A)$, the Connes boundary map (which we still denote as B) is given by $B = s \circ N$, and satisfies $B \circ b + b \circ B = 0$ (see [7]). This yields the following double chain complex:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow \\
 \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_3(A) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{B} \\
 & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & \\
 \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_0(A) & \\
 & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & & \\
 \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_0(A) & & & \\
 & b \downarrow & & & & & \\
 \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_0(A) & & & & & \\
 & p = -1 & & p = 0 & & & p = 1
 \end{array}$$

We use $B\bar{P}(A)$ to denote the total complex of the above double complex, $B\bar{C}(A)$ to denote the total complex of the double complex which contains those columns where $p \geq 0$, and $B\bar{N}(A)$ to denote the total complex of the double complex which contains those columns where $p \leq 0$. From these three chain complexes we get cyclic homology and its variants:

- $HP_*(A) = H_*(B\bar{P}(A))$ periodic homology,
- $HC_*(A) = H_*(B\bar{C}(A))$ cyclic homology,
- $HN_*(A) = H_*(B\bar{N}(A))$ negative homology,

For more details see [7].

A similar procedure combined with Waldhausen’s S -construction was used by McCarthy in [10] to define these homologies categorically as follows.

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a k -linear category, i.e., for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $Hom(A, B)$ is a k -module, and compositions of morphisms are k -bilinear. Let

$$C_n(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1, A_0) \otimes_k Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(A_2, A_1) \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(A_n, A_0)$$

where the direct sum runs over all $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n) \in Obj(\mathcal{A})^{n+1}$. We have maps d_i, s_i , given by

$$d_i(f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \begin{cases} f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_i \circ f_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ f_n \circ f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n-1} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_i(f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \begin{cases} f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_i \otimes id_{A_{i+1}} \otimes f_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \otimes id_{A_0} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Then $(C(\mathcal{A}), d_i, s_i)$ is a simplicial k -module and $(C(\mathcal{A}), b)$ is a chain complex, where $b = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i$.

We then have the map given by $t(f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = (-1)^n (f_n \otimes f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n-1})$. Then $(C(\mathcal{A}), d_i, s_i, t)$ is a cyclic k -module. Let $N = \sum_{i=0}^n t^i$. Finally we have the extra degeneracy map:

$$s(f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = id_{A_0} \otimes f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

As in the ring case let $\bar{C}_n(\mathcal{A}) := C_n(\mathcal{A})/D_n$, where D_n is the sub k -module generated by the images of the degeneracy maps s_i (Note: the special degeneracy map s is not included). This gives us the normalized chain complex $(\bar{C}(\mathcal{A}), b)$ (we do not change the notation and still write the map as b). Let $B = s \circ N : \bar{C}_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{n+1}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have $b \circ B + B \circ b = 0$. So we can form the double complex:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow \\
 \leftarrow & B & \bar{C}_3(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_2(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_1(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & B \\
 & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & \\
 \leftarrow & B & \bar{C}_2(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_1(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_0(\mathcal{A}) & & \\
 & & b \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & & & \\
 \leftarrow & B & \bar{C}_1(\mathcal{A}) & \xleftarrow{B} & \bar{C}_0(\mathcal{A}) & & & & \\
 & & b \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 \leftarrow & B & \bar{C}_0(\mathcal{A}) & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & \\
 p = -1 & & p = 0 & & & & p = 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

As before $B\bar{P}(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the total complex of the above double complex, $B\bar{C}(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the total complex of the double complex which contains those columns where $p \geq 0$, and $B\bar{N}(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the total complex of the double complex which contains those columns where $p \leq 0$.

Recall Waldhausen’s S -construction for an exact category \mathcal{A} [15]. $S_n\mathcal{A}$ is a simplicial category where $S_n(\mathcal{A})$ is the category whose objects are all the sequences of admissible monomorphisms of length n , along with choices for all quotients:

$$* \twoheadrightarrow A_1 \twoheadrightarrow A_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow A_n \quad (\text{we suppress writing the choices for quotients}),$$

and morphisms are the obvious ones. If \mathcal{A} is an exact k -linear category, then each $S_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a k -linear category. Hence we can apply the above constructions \bar{C} , $B\bar{C}$, $B\bar{P}$, $B\bar{N}$ to each $S_n(\mathcal{A})$. Since they are all functorial, we have simplicial complexes, $\bar{C}(S_n\mathcal{A})$, $B\bar{C}(S_n\mathcal{A})$, $B\bar{P}(S_n\mathcal{A})$ and $B\bar{N}(S_n\mathcal{A})$. Hence each can be made into a double chain complex. From these double chain complexes we can define:

$$HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = H_{*+1}(\text{Tot}(\bar{C}(S_n\mathcal{A}))) \text{ Hochschild homology,}$$

$$HP_*(\mathcal{A}) = H_{*+1}(\text{Tot}(B\bar{P}(S_n\mathcal{A}))) \text{ periodic homology,}$$

$$HC_*(\mathcal{A}) = H_{*+1}(\text{Tot}(B\bar{C}(S_n\mathcal{A}))) \text{ cyclic homology,}$$

$$HN_*(\mathcal{A}) = H_{*+1}(\text{Tot}(B\bar{N}(S_n\mathcal{A}))) \text{ negative homology.}$$

It is shown in [10] that when A is a k -algebra and \mathcal{P}_A is the exact k -linear category of all finitely generated projective A -modules, $HH_*(A) = HH_*(\mathcal{P}_A)$ and the same is true for HP_* , HC_* and HN_* .

The Dennis trace map and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern maps are defined in [10]. Furthermore, it is shown in [10] that when \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{P}_A , where A is a k -algebra, these two maps are the same as the usual maps for the algebra A .

The Dennis trace map $D: K_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HH_*(\mathcal{A})$ is induced by the map

$$S_n\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{id} \bar{C}_0 S_n\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{inc} \bar{C} S_n\mathcal{A}$$

where id sends each $A \in S_n(\mathcal{A})$ to $id_A \in Hom_{S_n(\mathcal{A})}(A, A) \subset \bar{C}_0 S_n(\mathcal{A})$.

The Jones–Goodwillie Chern map, denoted as $J - G: K_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HN_*(\mathcal{A})$, is obtained by the composition

$$S_n\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z_0(B\bar{N}S_n\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B\bar{N}S_n\mathcal{A},$$

where α sends each $A \in S_n(\mathcal{A})$ to $(id_A, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $Z_0(B\bar{N}S_n\mathcal{A})$ are the 0-cycles of $B\bar{N}S_n\mathcal{A}$.

For more details and other wonderful properties see [10].

For our purpose we need a Quillen’s Q -construction for HH_* , HP_* , HC_* , HN_* of an exact k -linear category. Let us first recall Quillen’s Q -construction.

Let \mathcal{A} be an exact category. $Q\mathcal{A}$ is the category which has the same objects as \mathcal{A} , but for defining the morphisms in $Q\mathcal{A}$ let us introduce some equivalences. Two diagrams in \mathcal{A}

$$M \leftarrow M' \twoheadrightarrow N \quad \text{and} \quad M \leftarrow M'' \twoheadrightarrow N$$

are said to be equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\theta: M' \rightarrow M''$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \leftarrow & M' & \rightarrow & N \\ \parallel & & \theta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ M & \leftarrow & M'' & \rightarrow & N \end{array}$$

commute. Then a morphism in $Q\mathcal{A}$ from M to N is defined to be an equivalence class of a diagram $M \leftarrow M' \rightarrow N$, for some M' in $Q\mathcal{A}$.

In \mathcal{A} two admissible monomorphism $N_1 \rightarrow N$ and $N_2 \rightarrow N$ are said to be equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\theta: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \rightarrow & N \\ \theta \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ N_2 & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Then a subobject N_1 of N is an equivalence class of an admissible monomorphism $N_1 \rightarrow N$, denoted by $N_1 \subset N$. Using this we can specify a morphism in $Q\mathcal{A}$ from M to N by (N_1, N_2, φ) where $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N$ and $\varphi: N_2/N_1 \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

Consider now the bicategory, $bi(Q\mathcal{A})$, whose objects are the objects of \mathcal{A} , horizontal morphisms are morphisms in $Q\mathcal{A}$, vertical morphisms are morphisms in \mathcal{A} , and bimorphisms are all diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{g} & Q \end{array}$$

where f, g are morphisms in $Q\mathcal{A}$, a, b are morphisms in \mathcal{A} , and the diagram commutes in the following sense.

If f is denoted by $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N$, $\varphi: N_2/N_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ and g is denoted by $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset Q$, $\psi: Q_2/Q_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} P$, then $f|N_2: N_2 \rightarrow Q_2, f|N_1: N_1 \rightarrow Q_1$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_2/N_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ \bar{f} \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\ Q_2/Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & P \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let $Q.\mathcal{A}$ be the horizontal nerve of $bi(Q\mathcal{A})$. More precisely, $Q.\mathcal{A}$ is the simplicial category $(Q_n\mathcal{A}, d_i, s_i)$ as follows. $Q_n\mathcal{A}$ is the category whose objects are all sequences of length n in $Q\mathcal{A}$

$$M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_n$$

and a morphism between two such sequences is a family of vertical arrows each of which is a morphism in \mathcal{A}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ P_0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_n \end{array}$$

such that each square commutes in the above sense. The face maps and the degeneracy maps are the same as those for the nerve of $Q\mathcal{A}$.

Clearly when \mathcal{A} is a k -linear category, each $Q_n\mathcal{A}$ is also a k -linear category. Therefore, we can apply the constructions \bar{C} , $B\bar{C}$, $B\bar{N}$ and $B\bar{P}$ to each $Q_n\mathcal{A}$. Then we can apply them to $Q\mathcal{A}$ to obtain double chain complexes. With this we can define homology by

$$\begin{aligned} HH_*(\mathcal{A}) &= H_{*+1}(\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{A})) \text{ Hochschild homology,} \\ HP_*(\mathcal{A}) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{P}(Q\mathcal{A})) \text{ periodic homology,} \\ HC_*(\mathcal{A}) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{A})) \text{ cyclic homology,} \\ HN_*(\mathcal{A}) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{N}(Q\mathcal{A})) \text{ negative homology.} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category. Then the two definitions, one by the Q -construction as above, and the other by the S -construction as given by McCarthy, of the Hochschild, periodic, cyclic and negative homologies of \mathcal{A} are the same. In particular, if A is a k -algebra then*

$$\begin{aligned} HH_*(A) &= H_{*+1}(\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{P}_A)), & HP_*(A) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{P}(Q\mathcal{P}_A)), \\ HC_*(A) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{P}_A)), & HN_*(A) &= H_{*+1}(B\bar{N}(Q\mathcal{P}_A)) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P}_A is the category of all finitely generated projective A -modules.

Proof. We mimic Waldhausen’s proof that the two definitions of K -theory of an exact category by the Q -construction and the S -construction are equivalent [15, 1.9]. For the simplicial chain complex $\bar{C}(S\mathcal{A})$ let $\bar{C}(S^e\mathcal{A})$ denote the corresponding edgewise subdivision. As in [15] it is not too hard to check that for each n , the k -linear category $S_n^e\mathcal{A} = S_{2n+1}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the k -linear category $Q_n\mathcal{A}$. So there is a special homotopy equivalence between the chain complexes $\bar{C}(S_n^e\mathcal{A})$ and $\bar{C}(Q_n\mathcal{A})$ [10, proposition 2.4.1]. Therefore we have a homotopy equivalence between the total complexes of $\bar{C}(S^e\mathcal{A})$ and $\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{A})$. From this we have

$$H_*(\bar{C}(Q\mathcal{A}) \cong H_*(\bar{C}(S^e\mathcal{A})) \cong H_*(\bar{C}(S\mathcal{A})).$$

There are similar proofs for HP_* , HC_* and HN_* . \square

Similar to [10] we can define the Dennis trace map from $K_*(\mathcal{A})$ to $HH_*(\mathcal{A})$ and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map from $K_*(\mathcal{A})$ to $HN_*(\mathcal{A})$ by using our Q -construction in place of the S -construction.

The Dennis trace map will be induced by the composite

$$NQ.\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{id} \bar{C}_0(Q.\mathcal{A}) \subset \bar{C}(Q.\mathcal{A})$$

and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map will be induced by the composite

$$NQ.\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z_0(B\bar{N}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \subset B\bar{N}(Q.\mathcal{A}),$$

where $NQ.\mathcal{A}$ is the nerve of $Q.\mathcal{A}$.

It is clear that by the edgewise subdivision theorem [11, Proposition A.1] and the equivalence between the categories $S_{2n+1}\mathcal{A}$ and $Q_n.\mathcal{A}$ for all n , the definitions of the Dennis trace map and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map using the Q -construction, as above, is equivalent to the definitions given by McCarthy using the S -construction. In particular when A is a k -algebra and \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{P}_A , we get the usual Dennis trace map and Jones–Goodwillie Chern map.

2. U -theory of exact categories with duality functors

Let \mathcal{A} be an exact category with a duality functor. A *duality functor* is a contravariant exact functor $*:\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that there is a natural isomorphism $(i_M)_{M \in \mathcal{A}}: id \rightarrow * \circ *$ with $i_M^* \circ i_{M^*} = id_{M^*}$ for all $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Fix $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. An ε -hermitian module in \mathcal{A} is a pair (M, h) with $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h: M \rightarrow M^*$ such that $h = \varepsilon h^*$. (M, h) is called *non-singular* if h is an isomorphism.

Based on Giffen’s unpublished idea, Uridia in [14] defines the U -theory of an exact category with a duality functor as follows. Let ${}_\varepsilon W.\mathcal{A}$ be the category whose objects are all non-singular ε -hermitian modules. A morphism in ${}_\varepsilon W.\mathcal{A}$ from (M, h) to (N, g) is an equivalence class of the diagram $M \xleftarrow{i} M' \xrightarrow{j} N$ where i (resp. j) is an admissible monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) in \mathcal{A} such that the following is a bicartesian square in \mathcal{A} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \xrightarrow{i} & N \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* \circ g \\ M & \xrightarrow{j^* \circ h} & (M')^* \end{array}$$

Two diagrams $M \leftarrow M' \rightarrow N$ and $M \leftarrow M'' \rightarrow N$ are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\theta: M' \rightarrow M''$ such that the obvious diagram commutes. For any admissible monomorphism $N_1 \rightarrow N$ with (N, g) a non-singular ε -hermitian module, let N_1^\perp be the kernel of the composite $N \xrightarrow{g} N^* \rightarrow N_1^*$. When $N_1 \subset N_1^\perp$, we call N_1 a *total isotropic* subobject of N .

In the above bicartesian square, if we let $N_1 = \ker j$, then $N_1^\perp = M'$. Hence any morphism in ${}_\varepsilon W.\mathcal{A}$ from (M, h) to (N, g) can be identified by (N_1, φ) , where $N_1 \subset N$ is a total isotropic subobject of N and $\varphi: (N_1^\perp/N_1, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$ is an isomorphism of ε -hermitian modules.

Definition (Uridia [14]). Let \mathcal{A} be an exact category with a duality functor. The ${}_\varepsilon U$ -theory of \mathcal{A} is defined to be the loop space of the classifying space of ${}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A}$, i.e., ${}_\varepsilon U(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega | {}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A} |$. Denote ${}_\varepsilon U_n(\mathcal{A}) = \pi_n({}_\varepsilon U(\mathcal{A})) = \pi_{n+1}({}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A})$.

Charney and Lee [1] show that if A is a k -algebra, $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ and \mathcal{P}_A is the exact category of finitely generated projective A -modules with the duality functor $*$ = $Hom(-, A)$, then there is a homotopy fibration sequence

$${}_\varepsilon U(\mathcal{P}_A) \rightarrow K(A) \rightarrow {}_\varepsilon L(A).$$

So ${}_\varepsilon U(\mathcal{P}_A)$ is equivalent to the ${}_\varepsilon U(A)$ defined by Karoubi in [6].

Remark 1. ${}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A}$ is not connected in general. We know that $\Omega | {}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A} |$ only depends on the subcategory of ${}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A}$ made up of all the metabolic ε -hermitian modules. The classifying space of this subcategory is the connected component containing 0. One reason, besides others, for studying the full category ${}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A}$ is that $\pi_0(| {}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A} |)$ is the usual Witt group.

Remark 2. If \mathcal{A} is an exact category with duality functor for which short exact sequences are not necessarily split, then there is currently no definition for the L -theory of that category. For these general categories it seems that U -theory is more natural than L -theory. Also, if needed, we could introduce L -theory as the delooping of the homotopy fibre of the map ${}_\varepsilon U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$, which comes from the forgetful functor.

By forgetting the ε -hermitian form, we have a natural functor from ${}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A}$ to $Q\mathcal{A}$, and hence a map $N {}_\varepsilon W\mathcal{A} \rightarrow NQ\mathcal{A}$. Composing this with the Dennis trace map and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map we generalize these maps into U -theory:

$$D: {}_\varepsilon U_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HH_*(\mathcal{A}),$$

$$J - G: {}_\varepsilon U_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HN_*(\mathcal{A}).$$

In Section 4 we will show that when $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, D and $J - G$ factor through involutive homologies. The definitions of these homologies will be given in Section 3.

3. Involutive homologies

The involutive homologies considered below are more or less well known, several authors considered them and used slightly different notations (see, e.g., [3, 7–9]). Let E be a chain complex of k -modules. An *involution* on E is a chain map $y: E \rightarrow E$ such that $y^2 = id_E$. Let ${}_+ E^h$ denote the total complex of the double complex:

$$E \xleftarrow{1-y} E \xleftarrow{1+y} E \xleftarrow{1-y} E \xleftarrow{1+y} \dots$$

and let $-E^h$ denote the total complex of the double complex:

$$E \xleftarrow{1+y} E \xleftarrow{1-y} E \xleftarrow{1+y} E \xleftarrow{1-y} \dots$$

We call the homology of $+E^h$ the *plus-involutive homology* of E and denote it by $+H_*^{inv}(E)$, and call the homology of $-E^h$ the *minus-involutive homology* of E and denote it by $-H_*^{inv}(E)$ ($+H_*^{inv}(E)$ and $-H_*^{inv}(E)$ can be understood in terms of group homology of $Z/2$, see [7]). There is a natural map from $H_*(E)$ to $+H_*^{inv}(E)$ (resp. $-H_*^{inv}(E)$) induced by the map sending E to the first column of $+E^h$ (resp. $-E^h$).

When $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, we have maps:

$$E \xrightarrow{(1-y)/2} E \quad \text{and} \quad E \xleftarrow{(1+y)/2} E,$$

with $\frac{1}{2}(1-y) + \frac{1}{2}(1+y) = id_E$. So $E = Im((1-y)/2) \oplus Im((1+y)/2)$ and the two summands are quasi-isomorphic to $-E^h$ and $+E^h$, respectively. Hence

$$H_*(E) = +H_*^{inv}(E) \oplus -H_*^{inv}(E).$$

The following is a well known fact.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M and N be two double complexes and $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ a chain map. If on each column (row) $\alpha: M_{p,*} \rightarrow N_{p,*}$ ($\alpha: M_{*,q} \rightarrow N_{*,q}$) is a quasi-isomorphism then α is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let E and F be two chain complexes and suppose y is an involution on E , z is involution on F . If $\alpha: E \rightarrow F$ is a quasi-isomorphism such that $\alpha \circ y = z \circ \alpha$, then $\alpha: +E^h \rightarrow +F^h$ and $\alpha: -E^h \rightarrow -F^h$ are also quasi-isomorphisms.*

Proof. Since α is a quasi-isomorphism from each column of $+E^h$ to the corresponding column of $+F^h$, by Lemma 3.1, $+E^h$ and $+F^h$ are quasi-isomorphic. The same is for $-E^h$ and $-F^h$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let E and F be two chain complexes and y an involution on F . If $\alpha: E \rightarrow F$ is a chain map such that α and $y \circ \alpha$ are chain homotopic, then the composite $H_*(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H_*(F) \rightarrow -H_*^{inv}(F)$ sends $H_*(E)$ into the 2-primary part of $-H_*^{inv}(F)$. In particular if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, then the composite map is zero, which means that $Im(\alpha_*) \subset +H_*^{inv}(F)$.*

Proof. First assume $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. Since α and $y \circ \alpha$ are chain homotopic we have $\alpha_* = y_* \circ \alpha_*: H_*(E) \rightarrow H_*(F)$, e.g. $(1-y)_* \circ \alpha_* = 0$. We have

$$H_*(F) = Im(1+y)_* \oplus Im(1-y)_* = +H_*^{inv}(F) \oplus -H_*^{inv}(F).$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_*(H_*(E)) \subset +H_*^{inv}(F)$.

For the case where $\frac{1}{2}$ is not an element of k , simply localize at 2. By the above calculation, the composite $H_*(E) \rightarrow H_*(F) \rightarrow -H_*^{inv}(F)$, after localizing, is the zero map. In particular, the composite sends $H_*(E)$ to the 2-primary part of $-H_*^{inv}(F)$. \square

Let A be a k -algebra with an involution $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$. Define $y: \bar{C}_n(A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_n(A)$ by

$$a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \rightarrow (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \bar{a}_0 \otimes \bar{a}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{a}_n.$$

Loday [7] shows that $y \circ b = b \circ y$ and $y \circ B = -B \circ y$. This means that $y: \bar{C}_n(A) \rightarrow \bar{C}_n(A)$ is an involution. We call ${}_+HH_*^{inv}(A) = {}_+H_*^{inv}(\bar{C}(A))$ the *plus involutive Hochschild homology* (in terms of the group homology of $Z/2$, it is called the $Z/2$ -equivariant Hochschild homology, see [7]).

In order that y induces an involution on $B\bar{P}(A), B\bar{C}(A), B\bar{N}(A)$ we need to adjust the sign of y . The new action, which we denote as z , is $(-1)^p y$ on the p th column (see [3]). ${}_+H_*^{inv}(B\bar{C}(A))$ is called the *dihedral homology* of A . We will call ${}_+H_*^{inv}(B\bar{P}(A))$ and ${}_+H_*^{inv}(B\bar{N}(A))$ the *plus involutive periodic* and *negative homology* of A (the last is also called quadrant II dihedral homology, see [7]).

The construction of the above involutive homologies can be extended to define involutive homologies for an exact k -linear category with a duality functor. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a k -linear category with a duality functor. In Section 1 we described the chain complexes $\bar{C}(\mathcal{A}), B\bar{P}(\mathcal{A}), B\bar{C}(\mathcal{A}), B\bar{N}(\mathcal{A})$. As in the ring case we can define $y: \bar{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{C}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$f_0 \otimes f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n \rightarrow (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} f_0^* \otimes f_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes f_n^*.$$

it is easy to check that $y \circ b = b \circ y$ and $B \circ y = -y \circ B$, which means that y is an involution on $\bar{C}(\mathcal{A})$. Once again by the same sign provision, we can induce the involution z on $B\bar{P}(\mathcal{A}), B\bar{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and $B\bar{N}(\mathcal{A})$.

Now let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category with a duality functor. Then the duality functor of \mathcal{A} induces a duality functor on $Q_n\mathcal{A}$, for all n . More precisely, for a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ in $Q_n\mathcal{A}$, if f is represented by $M \leftarrow M' \rightarrow N$, then let the map $f^*: M^* \rightarrow N^*$ in $Q_n\mathcal{A}$ denote the map represented by $M^* \rightarrow M'^* \leftarrow N^*$. Note that this does not induce a duality functor on $Q_n\mathcal{A}$, since the above is not from N^* to M^* . But we can use it to induce a duality functor on $Q_n\mathcal{A}$. For an object M in $Q_n\mathcal{A}$,

$$M =: M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_n} M_n$$

define the dual of that object as

$$M^* =: M_0^* \xrightarrow{f_1^*} M_1^* \xrightarrow{f_2^*} \dots \xrightarrow{f_n^*} M_n^*$$

For a morphism $(a_0, \dots, a_n): M \rightarrow P$, we define the dual as $(a_0^*, \dots, a_n^*): P^* \rightarrow M^*$. Clearly this defines a duality functor on $Q_n\mathcal{A}$.

Now that $Q_n\mathcal{A}$ is a k -linear category with a duality functor, we have the involutions y and z on $\bar{C}(Q_n\mathcal{A}), B\bar{C}(Q_n\mathcal{A}), B\bar{P}(Q_n\mathcal{A})$ and $B\bar{N}(Q_n\mathcal{A})$. This duality functor is also compatible with the simplicial structure of $Q_n\mathcal{A}$, so the induced involutions are also compatible with the simplicial structures of $\bar{C}(Q_n\mathcal{A}), B\bar{P}(Q_n\mathcal{A}), B\bar{C}(Q_n\mathcal{A})$ and $B\bar{N}(Q_n\mathcal{A})$.

Definition. If \mathcal{A} is an exact k -linear category with a duality functor, then we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_+HH_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &= {}_+H_{*+1}^{inv}(\overline{C}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \text{ + involutive Hochschild homology of } \mathcal{A}, \\
 {}_+HP_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &= {}_+H_{*+1}^{inv}(B\overline{P}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \text{ + involutive periodic homology of } \mathcal{A}, \\
 {}_+HN_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &= {}_+H_{*+1}^{inv}(B\overline{N}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \text{ + involutive negative homology of } \mathcal{A}, \\
 {}_+HC_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &= {}_+H_{*+1}^{inv}(B\overline{C}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \text{ dihedral homology of } \mathcal{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will also write *dihedral homology* in the standard notation $HD_*(\mathcal{A}) = {}_+HC_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark. We can also use the S -construction to define the involutive homologies for an exact k -linear category \mathcal{A} with a duality functor $*$. The duality functor induces a duality functor for each of the $S_n\mathcal{A}$ by defining $(* \rightrightarrows A_1 \rightrightarrows A_2 \rightrightarrows \dots \rightrightarrows A_n)^*$ as

$$* \rightrightarrows (A_n/A_{n-1})^* \rightrightarrows (A_n/A_{n-2})^* \rightrightarrows \dots \rightrightarrows (A_n/A_1)^* \rightrightarrows A_n^*.$$

Then apply to $S.\mathcal{A}$ the same process used to define the involutive homologies of $Q.\mathcal{A}$. By passing to edgewise subdivision, as in the proof of Proposition 1.1, we see that the involutive homologies of $S.\mathcal{A}$ and $Q.\mathcal{A}$ are the same.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a k -algebra with involution “ $-$ ”, and let \mathcal{P}_A be the category of all finitely generated projective A -modules with duality functor $* = Hom_A(-, A)$. Then the involutive homologies of A are the same as the involutive homologies of \mathcal{P}_A .*

Proof. By the above note we can define the involutive homologies of \mathcal{P}_A using $\overline{C}(S.\mathcal{P}_A)$. From [10] we have a chain map from $\overline{C}(A)$ to $\overline{C}(S.\mathcal{P}_A)$ which is a quasi-isomorphism. The map comes from the inclusion

$$\overline{C}_n(A) = A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes n} = Hom_A(A, A) \otimes \overline{Hom}_A(A, \overline{A})^{\otimes n} \subset \overline{C}_n(S_1\mathcal{P}_A).$$

Furthermore, we can see that the chain map commutes with the involutions on $\overline{C}(A)$ and $\overline{C}(S.\mathcal{P}_A)$. So by Lemma 3.2 we have that the involutive homologies of A are isomorphic to the involutive homologies of \mathcal{P}_A . \square

4. Chern maps

Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category with a duality functor $*$. Then $*$ induces a covariant functor $v: Q\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q\mathcal{A}$ by sending the object M to M^* , and sending the morphism $M \leftarrow M' \rightarrow N$ to $M^* \rightarrow M'^* \leftarrow N^*$. Clearly $v^2 = id$. This induces a self map on the classifying space of $Q\mathcal{A}$ and thus an endomorphism on $K(\mathcal{A})$. We will still denote it as v and call it an *involution on $K(\mathcal{A})$* (cf. [9]).

Recall that the Dennis trace map and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map are induced by

$$D: NQ.\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}_0(Q.\mathcal{A}) \subset \bar{C}(Q.\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$J - G: NQ.\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Z_0(B\bar{N}(Q.\mathcal{A})) \subset B\bar{N}(Q.\mathcal{A}).$$

We can see that $y \circ D = D \circ v$ and $z \circ (J - G) = (J - G) \circ v$, i.e. the Dennis trace map and the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map commute with the involutions on $K(\mathcal{A})$, $HH(\mathcal{A})$ and $HN(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $F: {}_eW\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q\mathcal{A}$ denote the forgetful functor. We will still use F to denote the map from ${}_eU(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega|{}_eW\mathcal{A}| \rightarrow \Omega|Q\mathcal{A}| = K(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the forgetful functor.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a natural isomorphism i between the functor $v \circ F$ and $F: {}_eW\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q\mathcal{A}$. So $v \circ F = F: {}_eU_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_*(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. For any object $(M, h) \in {}_eW\mathcal{A}$, we will define the natural isomorphism by $i_{(M, h)} = h: F((M, h)) = M \rightarrow M^* = v \circ F((M, h))$. We would like that for any morphism from (M, h) to (N, g) in ${}_eW\mathcal{A}$, represented by $M \leftarrow M' \rightarrow N$, the following diagram commutes in $Q\mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \leftarrow & M' & \rightarrow & N \\ h \downarrow & & & & g \downarrow \\ M^* & \rightarrow & M'^* & \leftarrow & N^* \end{array}$$

But this is equal to saying that the following diagram is a bicartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \longrightarrow & N \\ \downarrow & & g \downarrow \\ & & N^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} M^* \rightarrow & M'^* \end{array}$$

That this is a bicartesian square follows directly from the requirement imposed on morphisms in ${}_eW\mathcal{A}$.

The above gives us that $v \circ F$ and F are naturally isomorphic functors, hence the maps they induce on the classifying spaces are homotopic. Therefore $v \circ F = F: {}_eU_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_*(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category. Then the image of the composite map ${}_eU_*(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{D} HH_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow -HH_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ lies in the 2-primary part of $-HH_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, then the Dennis trace map D maps ${}_eU_*(\mathcal{A})$ into $+HH_*^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) \subset HH_*(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. We pointed out at the beginning of this section that for the Dennis trace map $D: NQ_{\bullet}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A})$, we have $y \circ D = D \circ v$, where y is the involution on $\bar{C}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A})$ induced from the involution on \mathcal{A} . Putting this together with Lemma 4.1 we have that $D \circ F \simeq y \circ D \circ F: {}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bar{C}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A})$. Now using Lemma 3.3, we have the results of our theorem. \square

Using the same proof, with $J - G$ in place of D , we get the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category. The image of the composite ${}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{J-G} HN_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow -HN_{\bullet}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ lies in the 2-primary part of $-HN_{\bullet}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, then the Jones–Goodwillie Chern map $J-G$ maps ${}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ into $+HN_{\bullet}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) \subset HN_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Let x_p be the composite of chain maps

$$B\bar{N}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A}) \subset B\bar{P}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{\leftarrow n} B\bar{C}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A})[2n] \rightarrow B\bar{C}(Q_{\bullet}\mathcal{A})[2p]$$

Clearly x_p commutes with the involutions. This gives us the induced maps:

$$\begin{aligned} x_p: HN_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow HC_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A}), \\ +x_p: +HN_{\bullet}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow +HC_{\bullet+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) = HD_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A}), \\ -x_p: -HN_{\bullet}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow -HC_{\bullet+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

When $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, we have $x_p = +x_p \oplus -x_p$.

Consider the composite: ${}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{J-G} HN_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{x_p} HC_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A})$. We will still call it a Jones–Goodwillie Chern map. From Theorem 4.3 we have:

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category. Then the composite ${}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{J-G} HC_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow -_1HC_{\bullet+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ has its image in the 2-primary part of $-_1HC_{\bullet+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$. When $\frac{1}{2} \in k$, the Jones–Goodwillie Chern maps send ${}_{\varepsilon}U_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ into $HD_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A}) \subset HC_{\bullet+2p}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Suppose \mathcal{A} is an exact category with a duality functor in which all short exact sequences are split. As Karoubi did in the ring case we can mimick Quillen’s $S^{-1}S$ -construction to define the L -theory for \mathcal{A} . There is also an analogy of the Q -construction which can be used to define the L -theory for such a category, and the two definitions by Q and $S^{-1}S$ constructions are equivalent (see [13]). In more detail, let \mathcal{A} be an exact category with a duality functor and let $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Define ${}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A}$ in the following way. The objects are non-singular ε -hermitian modules (M, h) and a morphism $(M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$ is given by $((M_1, h_1), (M_2, h_2), \varphi)$, where $(M_1, h_1), (M_2, h_2) \in {}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi: (M, h) \oplus (M_1, h_1) \oplus (M_2, h_2) \rightarrow (N, g)$ is an isomorphism of ε -hermitian modules. Then the L -theory of \mathcal{A} is defined by ${}_{\varepsilon}L(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega | {}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A} |$.

The forgetful functor F from ${}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A}$ to $Q\mathcal{A}$, which simply forgets the ε -hermitian forms, induces a map ${}_{\varepsilon}L(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$. Using a similar proof to the one used for U -theory, we have:

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an exact k -linear category with a duality functor such that all short exact sequences split and $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. Then the image of the composite ${}_{\varepsilon}L_{*}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{F} K_{*}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{D} HH_{*}(\mathcal{A}) = {}_{+}HH_{*}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus {}_{-}HH_{*}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ lies in ${}_{+}HH_{*}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ and the image of the composite ${}_{\varepsilon}L_{*}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{F} K_{*}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{J-G} HC_{*+2p}(\mathcal{A}) = {}_{+}HC_{*+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) \oplus {}_{-}HC_{*+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A})$ lies in ${}_{+}HC_{*+2p}^{inv}(\mathcal{A}) = HD_{*+2p}(\mathcal{A})$. Similar statements can be made for HN_{*} and HP_{*} .*

Proof. The key here is to prove an analogy to Lemma 4.1. That is, we must show that the functors $v \circ F$ and F from ${}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A}$ to $Q\mathcal{A}$ are naturally isomorphic. For any $(M, h) \in {}_{\varepsilon}Q\mathcal{A}$, let the natural isomorphism $i_{(M, h)} = h : F((M, h)) = M \rightarrow M^{*} = v \circ F((M, h))$. To see naturality let us note that for any morphism from (M, h) to (N, g) , given by $((M_1, h_1), (M_2, h_2), \varphi)$, the following diagram in $Q\mathcal{A}$ commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M & \leftarrow & M \oplus M_1 & \rightarrow & M \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & N \\
 \downarrow \cong & & & & & & \downarrow \cong \\
 M^{*} & \rightarrow & M^{*} \oplus M_1^{*} & \leftarrow & M^{*} \oplus M_1^{*} \oplus M_2^{*} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi^{*}} & N^{*}
 \end{array}$$

Remark. As we mentioned in the introduction, this provides another proof of a result in [2, 9].

References

- [1] R. Charney and R. Lee, On a theorem of Giffen, *Michigan Math. J.* 33 (2) (1986) 169–186.
- [2] G. Cortiñas, L -theory and dihedral homology, *Math. Scand.* 73 (1993) 21–35.
- [3] G. Cortiñas, L -theory and dihedral homology II, *Topology Appl.* 51 (1993) 53–69.
- [4] T. Goodwillie, Relative algebraic K -theory and cyclic homology, *Ann. Math.* 124 (1986) 347–402.
- [5] C. Hood and J.D.S. Jones, Some algebraic properties of cyclic homology groups, *K-theory* 1 (1987) 361–384.
- [6] M. Karoubi, Le théorème fondamental de la K -théorie hermitienne, *Ann. Math.* 112 (1980) 259–282.
- [7] J.L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology* (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
- [8] J.L. Loday, Homologies diédrale et quaternionique, *Adv. Math.* 66 (1987) 119–148.
- [9] J. Lodder, Dihedral homology and hermitian K -theory, Preprint, New Mexico State Univ. (1992).
- [10] R. McCarthy, The cyclic homology of an exact category, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 93 (1994) 251–296.
- [11] G. Segal, Configuration-spaces and iterated loop-spaces, *Inventiones Math.* 21 (1973) 213–221.
- [12] J.M. Shapiro and D. Yao, Hermitian U -theory of exact categories with duality functors, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 109 (1996) 323–330.
- [13] J.P. Solov’ev, Quillen constructions in hermitian K -theory, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 253 (1980) 301–304; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 22 (1) (1980) 96–99.
- [14] M.B. Uridia, U -theory of Exact Categories, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1437 (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- [15] F. Waldhausen, Algebraic K -theory of spaces, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1126 (Springer, Berlin, 1985) 318–419.